

Job Search Models

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde

University of Pennsylvania

February 12, 2016

Motivation

- We want to have dynamic models of the job market.
- Examples of questions we are interested in:
 - ① Why is there unemployment?
 - ② Why does unemployment fluctuate over the business cycle?
 - ③ Why does unemployment fluctuate in the lower frequencies?
 - ④ Why are unemployment rates different across countries?
 - ⑤ Is the unemployment level efficient?
 - ⑥ What are the effects of labor market regulation?
 - ⑦ What are the effects of UI?
 - ⑧ What determines the distribution of jobs and wages?
- Equilibrium models of unemployment.
- Labor market frictions.

Search Models

- We will begin with a simple model of job search.
- Matching is costly. Think about getting a date.
- We can bring our intuition to the job market. Why?
- Useful to illustrate many ideas and for policy analysis.
- Contributions of:
 - ① [Stigler \(1961\)](#).
 - ② [McCall \(1970\)](#).
- Static problem versus sequential.

Stigler's Model

- Risk-neutral agent.
- Easier to think as an agent asking for bids.
- Samples offers i.i.d. from $F(w)$.
- Decide ex-ante how many offers n she is going to ask for.
- Each offer has a cost c .

Optimal Number of Offers

- Remember that:

$$M_n = \mathbb{E} \min (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^n dw$$

- Then, gain of additional offer is:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= M_{n-1} - M_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} dw - \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^n dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} dw - \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} (1 - F(w)) dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} F(w) dw \end{aligned}$$

- Then G_n is a decreasing function with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = 0$.
- Optimal rule: set n such that $G_n \geq c > G_{n+1}$.
- Basic problem of static decisions: What if I get the lowest possible price in my first offer?

McCall's Model

- An agent searches for a job, taking market conditions as given.
- Preferences:

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t x_t$$

where

$$x_t = \begin{cases} = w & \text{if employed} \\ = z & \text{if unemployed} \end{cases}$$

- Interpretation of w and z .

Job Offers

- An unemployed agent gets every period one offer i.i.d. from distribution $F(w)$.
- Offer can be rejected (unemployed next period) or accepted (wage posting by firms).
- No recall of offers (no restrictive because of stationarity of the problem).
- Job last forever (neither quitting nor firing).
- Undirected search (alternative: directed search).

Bellman Equations

- Value function of employed agent:

$$W(w) = w + \beta W(w)$$

Clearly: $W(w) = \frac{w}{1-\beta}$.

- Value function of unemployed agent:

$$U = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max\{U, W(w)\} dF(w)$$

Then:

$$U = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max\left\{U, \frac{w}{1-\beta}\right\} dF(w)$$

- Lebesgue integral: discrete and continuous components.

Reservation Wage Property

- There exist a reservation wage w_R

$$W(w_R) = U = \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta}$$

such that if $w \geq w_R$ the worker should accept the offer and reject otherwise.

- Then:

$$w_R = T(w_R) = (1 - \beta)z + \beta \int_0^\infty \max\{w_R, w\} dF(w)$$

that is a contraction (that is, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T^N(w_0) = w_R$ and w_R is unique).

Characterizing Strategy I

Note:

$$\frac{w_R}{1-\beta} = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max \left\{ \frac{w_R}{1-\beta}, \frac{w}{1-\beta} \right\} dF(w) \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1-\beta} dF(w) = \\ & = z + \beta \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1-\beta} dF(w) \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$w_R \int_0^{w_R} dF(w) - z = \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{\beta w - w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w)$$

- Adding $w_R \int_{w_R}^{\infty} dF(w)$ to both sides:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

Characterizing Strategy II

- Interpretation

$$\underbrace{w_R - z}_{\text{Cost of Search one more time}} = \underbrace{\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)}_{\text{Expected Gain of one more search}}$$

- Sequential nature of the problem.
- Note that

$$g(w_R) = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

$$g'(w_R) = -\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} (1 - F(w_R)) < 0$$

$$g''(w_R) = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} f(w_R) \geq 0$$

Characterizing Strategy III

- Integrating by parts

$$\int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) = \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

- Then:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

Characterizing Strategy IV

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_R - z &= \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{\infty} w dF(w) - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left(w_R - \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \mathbb{E}w - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} w_R - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w)
 \end{aligned}$$

Characterizing Strategy V

- Now:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \mathbb{E}w - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} w_R - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \Rightarrow$$

$$(1 - \beta)(w_R - z) = \beta \mathbb{E}w - \beta w_R - \beta \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \Rightarrow$$

$$w_R - z = \beta (\mathbb{E}w - z) - \beta \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

- Integrating by parts $\int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) = - \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw$ and then:

$$w_R - z = \beta (\mathbb{E}w - z) + \beta \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw$$

Comparative Statics

Factors that affect search strategy:

- ① Value of unemployment z . Unemployment insurance: length and generosity of unemployment insurance vary greatly across countries. US replacement rate is 34%. Germany, France, and Italy the replacement rate is about 67%, with duration well beyond the first year of unemployment.
- ② Distribution of offers. Let $\tilde{F}(w)$ be a mean-preserving spread of $F(w)$. Then $\int_0^{w_R} \tilde{F}(w) dw > \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw$ for all w_R and $\tilde{w}_R > w_R$.
- ③ Minimum Wages: If the minimum wage is so high that it makes certain jobs unprofitable, less jobs are offered and job finding rates decline.

Problems

Rothschild (1973): Where does the distribution $F(w)$ come from?

Diamond (1971): Why is the distribution not degenerate?.

Intuition:

- ① In a model such as the previous one, workers follow a reservation wage strategy.
- ② Hence, firms do not gain anything out of posting any $w > w_R$.
- ③ At the same time, firms will never hire anyone if they post $w < w_R$.
- ④ Therefore, $F(w)$ will have a unit mass at w_R . (**Rothschild's Paradox**).
- ⑤ Moreover (**Diamond's Paradox**):

$$w_R - z = \beta (\mathbb{E}w - z) + \beta \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw \Rightarrow$$

$$w_R - z = \beta (w_R - z) \Rightarrow$$

$$w_R = z$$

Answers

- ① Exogenously given: different productivity opportunities.

- ② Endogenous:
 - ① Lucas and Prescott model of islands economy.

 - ② Bargaining.

 - ③ Directed search.

Lucas and Prescott (1974)

- Continuum of workers.
- Workers are risk neutral.
- A large number of separated labor markets (islands).
- There is a firm in each island subject to productivity shocks.
- Wage is determined competitively in each island.

Firms

- Each island has an aggregate production function:

$$\theta f(n)$$

where θ is a productivity shock, n is labor, and f has decreasing returns to scale.

- θ evolve according to kernel $\pi(\theta, \theta')$.
- There is a stationary distribution of θ .

Worker

- At the beginning of the period, worker observes:
 - ① Productivity θ .
 - ② Amount of worker on the island x .
 - ③ Distribution of islands in the economy $\Psi(\theta, x)$.
- They decide whether or not to move:
 - ① If it stays, workers will get wage $w(\theta, x)$.
 - ② If it moves, it does not work this period and picks which island to move to.

Equilibrium within the Island

- Firms maximize:

$$w(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x))$$

- Markets clear:

$$n(\theta, x) \leq x + \text{arrivals}$$

Value Function for the Worker

- The Bellman equation for the worker is given by:

$$v(\theta, x) = \max \left\{ \beta v_u, w(\theta, x) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \right\}$$

where v_u is the value of search.

- Three cases:
 - ① $v(\theta, x) = \beta v_u$: some workers are leaving the market.
 - ② $v(\theta, x) > \beta v_u$: no worker is leaving the market. Some may or may not arrive.
 - ③ $v(\theta, x) < \beta v_u$: cannot happen.

Case 2

- No worker is leaving but some workers are arriving:

$$v_u = \int v(\theta', x') d\theta$$

Thus:

$$v(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta v_u.$$

- No worker is leaving and no workers are arriving:

$$v(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \leq \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta v_u$$

A New Expression

- Putting all these cases together:

$$v(\theta, x) = \max \left\{ \beta v_u, \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \min \left\{ \beta v_u, \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \right\} \right\}$$

- Functional equation on $v(\theta, x)$.
- Unique solution.

Evolution of the Labor Force

- Some agents leave the market. Then $x' = n(\theta, x)$ solves:

$$\theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta = \beta v_u$$

- No worker is leaving but some will arrive next period. Then x' solves:

$$\int v(\theta', x') d\theta = v_u$$

- No worker is leaving and no workers will arrive next period. Then:

$$x' = x$$

Stationary Distribution

- The evolution of (θ, x) is then governed by a function $\Gamma(\theta', x' | \theta, x)$ that embodies the equations above.
- Then the stationary distribution solves:

$$\Psi(\theta, x) = \int \Gamma(\theta', x' | \theta, x) \Psi(\theta, x) d\theta$$

- From the stationary distribution we can find v_u .

Álvarez and Veracierto (1999)

- Now, instead of going to their favorite island, unemployed workers search for a new job randomly.
- Every period they find one island from distribution $\Psi(\theta, x)$.
- They decide whether to accept it or reject it.
- Endogenous distribution of wage offers.